The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE ELIZABETH DE COSTER et al., on behalf of Case No. 2:21-cv-00693-RSM themselves and all others similarly situated, STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER Plaintiffs, REGARDING DISCOVERY v. NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: May 16, 2023 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant.



The Parties, by and through their counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs in the Frame-Wilson v. Amazon, No. 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ (W.D. Wash.), and De Coster v. Amazon, No. 2:21-cv-00693-RSP (W.D. Wash.) cases (collectively "W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs") allege that Amazon violates federal antitrust laws through its agreements with third-party sellers that restrain prices of goods online. Later filed actions in other jurisdictions assert similar claims under state antitrust laws: People of California v. Amazon, Case No. CGC-22-601826 (Cal. Super. Court, San Francisco) ("California Action); and Mbadiwe v. Amazon, No. 1:22-cv-09542 (S.D.N.Y.) (collectively "Plaintiffs from other Jurisdictions"). The Plaintiffs in Frame-Wilson served 92 Requests for Production on Amazon in September 2022. For over six months the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs and Amazon (collectively "Parties") have met and conferred and exchanged extensive correspondence discussing Amazon's responses and objections to these Requests. As a part of their discussions, Amazon sought to minimize the burden of responding to duplicative requests for production from the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs from Other Jurisdictions and to avoid the potential of duplicative requests for depositions of Amazon witnesses. After multiple meetings and letter exchanges, the Parties reached an agreement as set forth below.
- 2. The Parties agreed to general cross-use as between *Frame-Wilson* and *De Coster*—discovery served or produced in one case is available for use in the other, with all parties reserving all objections as to admissibility or relevance of the discovery material in the litigation.
- 3. The Parties agree to coordinate depositions of Amazon witnesses with the plaintiffs in *Mbadiwe v. Amazon*, and *People of California v. Amazon* with respect to claims arising from Amazon's agreements with its third-party sellers so long as (1) it is feasible to do so, (2) there is acceptance by the plaintiff groups, and (3) it does not impede the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' ability to take depositions in an orderly manner within their own case schedules. Further, any agreement to coordinate with the *Mbadiwe* Plaintiffs is without prejudice to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' right to seek a protective order to prevent the *Mbadiwe* Plaintiffs from

15

13

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

attending depositions that do not concern their claims, with Amazon reserving the right to oppose any motion for a protective order.

- 4. Discussions concerning coordination between the *California* Action and *Brown v. Amazon*, No. 22-cv-00965-JHC (W.D. Wash.), are separate discussions, outside of this stipulation, and will continue during the pendency of Amazon's motion to dismiss the *Brown* Action.
- 5. The Parties note that the claims filed by the Attorney General of the District of Columbia overlap with the claims subject to this Stipulation. *District of Columbia v.*Amazon.com, Inc., Case No. 2021 CA 001775 B. The court granted Amazon's motion to dismiss the District's claims, and the District filed an appeal. In the event that the District's claims are reinstated while fact discovery is ongoing in this case, the parties agree to discuss the coordination of discovery in that case with the cases subject to this coordination stipulation.
- 6. Amazon's letter dated March 2, 2023 (and as further clarified by subsequent correspondence, including Amazon's response to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' May 10, 2023 email) explains that Amazon is reproducing in the California Action documents it produced in response to certain requests the California Attorney General made to Amazon in its investigation ("California Litigation Production"). The March 2 letter identifies the requests from the California Attorney General, which generated the California Litigation Production. The Parties agree that Amazon will also produce the California Litigation Production to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs. In addition, the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs will receive any additional productions Amazon makes to the California Attorney General in the California Action. To date, this production includes, as stated in Amazon's and the California Attorney General's Joint CMC Statement, more than 700,000 documents from the California Attorney General's investigation and an additional 15,000 documents not previously produced to the California Attorney General from the FTC's investigation of Amazon. The Parties agree that Amazon's production of these documents will be made without delay, with all materials previously produced to the California Attorney General produced to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs by May 19, 2023.

- 7. Amazon's March 2 letter (and subsequent clarifying correspondence, including its response to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' May 10, 2023 email) clarifies for each of the Requests from the California Attorney General that generated the California Litigation Production in that case, whether Amazon conducted go-get searches, whether it applied search terms, and if the latter, which search terms and which custodians' records were searched for each Request.

 Amazon's letters dated March 24, and 31 and the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' letters dated March 14 and April 4, and emails and attachments dated May 3 and 4, provide additional details concerning Amazon's production, including whether (and how) Amazon had reached agreement to narrow any of the requests at issue.
 - 8. As set forth in that correspondence, Amazon agrees:
 - A. to provide existing correspondence sent to the California Attorney General that describe, index, or accompany the productions to the California Attorney General, which will be made available to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs. To the extent such correspondence addresses materials to the California Attorney General that Amazon is not reproducing in the *California* Action, Amazon may redact any discussion of those materials;
 - B. to conduct a reasonable "refresh" of certain productions or categories of documents, in light of the date cut-off of the existing productions and to negotiate the scope of a "refresh" in good faith;
 - C. to supplement the search terms used concerning documents relating to the former Parity Provision; and
 - D. to conduct a reasonable search for and produce Seller University materials concerning the Parity Provision, the Marketplace Fair Pricing Policy, Featured Offer, Account Health, and MARS for the U.S. from 2016 to the date of collection.

1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98101

(206) 623-7292 OFFICE (206) 623-0594 FAX

9. Amazon confirms that the California Litigation Production includes profit and loss data from 2012 through October 31, 2022, and Amazon agrees to a refresh of that production, e.g., closer to class certification. Amazon also confirms that the California Litigation HAGENS BERMAN

Production includes the results of its go-get search and custodial searches based on identified
search terms in response to Request No. 12 in the March 2, 2023 letter, concerning Amazon's
Price Parity Provision. Amazon also confirms that the California Litigation Production includes
documents relating to Amazon's market share in online retail, and online retail marketplaces and
any analysis of the entry of online retail marketplaces or barriers to entry. Amazon agrees to
provide good-faith assistance to identify where these materials can be found in the California
Litigation Production. Amazon will meet and confer promptly about supplemental productions if
the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs identify additional, non-duplicative materials on these topics that are
pertinent to class certification issues.

- 10. In addition to the California Litigation Production, Amazon agrees to produce additional categories of documents described in Amazon's March 31, 2023 letter and May 1, 2023 email to Plaintiffs (and as further clarified by subsequent correspondence).
- 11. The Parties agree to timely produce documents they reasonably anticipate relying upon for class certification or summary judgment and to timely supplement such productions if additional documents are identified.
- 12. The Parties agree that the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs may pursue additional non-duplicative document discovery needed for purposes of class certification and need not wait to do so only after reviewing all the materials included in the anticipated production. Amazon confirms that it will engage with such requests promptly and in good faith, including by directing the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs to specific documents it has produced, as appropriate.
- 13. This stipulation does not impact the Parties' ability to issue written discovery, such as interrogatories or requests for admission. The W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs have expressly raised conducting discovery concerning whether third-party sellers were uniformly bound by the Price Parity Provision and Fair Pricing Policy, including through interrogatories, requests for admission, or the coordinated depositions referenced above. Should such avenues of discovery be insufficient for the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs' purposes, they have reserved the right to seek additional documents on this topic.

- 14. The Parties likewise agree that their negotiations over Amazon's production of data and Plaintiffs' requests for posts on Seller Forum, beginning in January 2012, and Seller University modules that relate to third-party seller pricing are occurring concurrently and are not superseded by this stipulation.
- 15. The stipulation does not foreclose Plaintiffs from seeking discovery after the Court rules on Plaintiffs' class certification motion, however Amazon reserves the right to object to production of discovery it perceives as duplicative of discovery that was made available to the W.D. Wash. Plaintiffs through this coordination stipulation or discovery that they sought in connection with class certification.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Parties, through their undersigned counsel of record, and the Parties ask the Court to order, that:

- 16. The Parties agree to the production of documents, coordination of depositions and other terms as set forth in this stipulation.
 - 17. The agreement is binding as of the date of submission. IT IS SO STIPULATED.



HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1 DATED: May 16, 2023 By: /s/ Steve W. Berman 2 Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536) 3 By: /s/ Barbara A. Mahoney Barbara A. Mahoney (WSBA No. 31845) 4 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 5 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 6 E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com E-mail: barbaram@hbsslaw.com 7 Anne F. Johnson (*pro hac vice*) 8 68 3rd Street, Suite 249 Brooklyn, NY 11231 9 Telephone: (718) 916-3520 E-mail: annej@hbsslaw.com 10 KELLER POSTMAN LLC 11 Zina G. Bash (pro hac vice) 12 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500 Austin, TX, 78701 13 Telephone: (512) 690-0990 E-mail: zina.bash@kellerpostman.com 14 Warren D. Postman (*pro hac vice*) 15 Albert Y. Pak (pro hac vice) 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., 12th Floor 16 Washington DC, 20005 Telephone: (202) 918-1123 17 E-mail: wdp@kellerpostman.com E-mail: albert.pak@kellerpostman.com 18 Jason A. Zweig (pro hac vice) 19 150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100 Chicago, IL 60606 20 Telephone: (312) 280-5788 E-mail: jaz@kellerpostman.com 21 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the 22 proposed Class 23 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 24 By: /s/ Derek W. Loeser Derek W. Loeser (WSBA No. 24274) 25 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 26 Telephone: (206) 623-1900 Facsimile: (206) 623-3384 27 E-mail: Dloeser@kellerrohrback.com



28

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 2 By: /s/ Alicia Cobb Alicia Cobb, WSBA # 48685 3 1109 First Avenue, Suite 210 4 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 905-7000 5 Email: aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com 6 Steig D. Olson (pro hac vice) David D. LeRay (pro hac vice) 7 Nic V. Siebert (pro hac vice) Maxwell P. Deabler-Meadows (pro hac vice) 8 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 9 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Email: steigolson@quinnemanuel.com Email: davidleray@quinnemanuel.com 10 Email: nicolassiebert@quinnemanuel.com Email: maxmeadows@quinnemanuel.com 11 12 Adam B. Wolfson (pro hac vice) 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 13 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 14 Email: adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com Interim Executive Committee for Plaintiffs and the 15 proposed Class 16 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 17 By: /s/ John A. Goldmark John A. Goldmark, WSBA # 40980 18 MaryAnn Almeida, WSBA #49086 19 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 Telephone: (206) 622-3150 20 Facsimile: (206) 757-7700 21 E-mail: JohnGoldmark@dwt.com E-mail: MaryAnnAlmeida@dwt.com 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



Case 2:21-cv-00693-RSM Document 90 Filed 05/18/23 Page 9 of 10

1	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2	Karen L. Dunn (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	William A. Isaacson (pro hac vice) Amy J. Mauser (pro hac vice)
4	Martha L. Goodman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Kyle Smith (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
5	2001 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-1047
6	Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
7	E-mail: kdunn@paulweiss.com E-mail: wisaacson@paulweiss.com
8 9	E-mail: amauser@paulweiss.com E-mail: mgoodman@paulweiss.com E-mail: ksmith@paulweiss.com
10	Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	



28

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	DATED this 18 th day of May, 2023.
5	
6	
7	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
8	9
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	I hereby certify that on May 16, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed
16	electronically by CM/ECF, which caused notice to be sent to all counsel of record.
17	/s/ Steve W. Berman
18	Steve W. Berman
19	9
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	LIACENIC DEDAAAN

